

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 689 (2004) 1949-1955



www.elsevier.com/locate/jorganchem

Alkylation and metalation of binuclear anions containing a novel tricyclohexylphosphonioethanetrithiolate ligand $S(SR)C=C(PCy_3)S$

Yong-Qiang Ma^a, Ning Yin^a, Jing Li^{a,*}, Qing-Lan Xie^a, Daniel Miguel^{b,*}

^a State Key Laboratory of Elemento-Organic Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China ^b Departamento de Quimica Inorganica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Volladolid, E-47071 Valladolid, Spain

Received 25 September 2003; accepted 16 March 2004

Abstract

The reaction of homobinuclear rhenium–rhenium complex [Re₂(CO)₆(μ -S₂CPCy₃)] (**1**c) with Li[BHEt₃] in THF produces anionic **2**c which reacts with CS₂ affording a new anion **3**c, through desulfurization and CS insertion, in a fashion paralel to that of the perviously known Mn–Mn and Mn–Re analogues. Anions **3a**–**3c** undergo allylation and metallation to give neutral products **4a**–**4k**. The structures of [MnRe(CO)₆(μ -H){ μ -S(SSnBuⁿ₃)C=C(PCy₃)S}] (**4d**) and [MnRe(CO)₆(μ -H){ μ -S(SC₃H₅)C=C(PCy₃)S}] (**4h**) have been determined by X-ray diffraction revealing the (OC)₃Mn–Re(CO)₃ core unit bridged by hydride and the novel *S*-tributylstannyl-, or (*S*-allyl)-(tricyclohexylphosphonio)ethenetrithiolate ligands.

© 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Phosphine-carbon disulfide adduct; Binuclear complex; Crystal structure

1. Introduction

Metal assisted formation and breaking of C–S bonds is of current interest in many fields, from organic synthesis [1] to catalytic hydrodesulfurization (HDS) [2], and the study of the coordination of S-donor ligands to homo-, and heterobimetallic systems is relevant to gain knowledge of some biological systems such as metalloenzymes [3].

At the same time phosphine-carbon disulfide adducts, S_2CPR_3 , are very versatile ligands that exhibit a variety of coordination modes to transition metal centers being able to formally donate from 2 to $8e^-$ to a metal (or metals) [4]. We have found recently that binuclear complexes containing S_2CPR_3 bridges, undergo C–S splitting upon reduction followed by protonation, a process which models the hydrogenolysis of thiophene [5,6]. In previous papers, we have shown that bimetallic complexes 1 (Scheme 1) undergo hydride addition at the

metal-metal bond, to afford anionic complexes 2 [7]. Addition of CS_2 produces novel anionic species 3, containing the (tricyclohexylphosphonio)ethenetrithiolate ligand S(S)C=C(PCy₃)S [8]. This results from a pathway which involves formally the desulfurization of CS_2 , metal-carbon bond cleavage and insertion into the S₂CPCy₃ ligand on the anionic 2, with formation of a carbon-carbon double bond. Monitoring by NMR by using ¹³C-enriched CS₂ suggested that the insertion of CS occurred into one of the C–S bonds of S₂CPCy₃, and not into the C–P bond.

In the present paper, we report the extension of this chemistry to dirhenium complexes, and the synthesis and characterization of a series of neutral homo- and heterobinuclear compounds containing the novel trialkylphosphoniotrithiocarboxylate ligand, S(SR)-C=C(PCy₃)S, in which the R group can be a metal-ligand fragment or an active allyl or propargyl group.

2. Results and discussion

As previously observed for their Mn–Mn and Mn–Re congeners [8], dirhenium complex 1c undergoes addition

^{*}Corresponding authors. Tel.: +34983184096; fax: +34983423013 (D. Miguel), Tel.: +86-22-23503691; fax: +86-22-23503627 (J. Li).

E-mail addresses: jingli@public.tpt.tj.cn (J. Li), dmjs@qi.uva.es (D. Miguel).



of hydride and subsequent reaction with CS_2 to give 3c, containing $S_2C=C(S)PCy_3$ as a bridging ligand.

In an attempt to gain some knowledge of the fate of the sulfur atom which is lost in the process, a molequivalent of PBu₃ was added to the reaction mixture after the addition of CS₂, when the IR spectra showed the formation of complex **3**. Monitoring by ³¹P NMR then showed the quantitative conversion of PBu₃ (δ -31 ppm) into SPBu₃ (δ 48.9 ppm). This suggests that the sulfur atom from the entering CS₂ is eliminated as elemental sulfur, which is trapped readily by PBu₃.

Anionic 3a-3c react with a variety of electrophiles RX to give neutral derivatives 4a-4k, in which the entering group is bonded to the terminal, non-bridging sulfur of the phosphonioethene trithiolato ligand. Their analytical and spectroscopic data (see Experimental) are consistent with the general structure proposed in Scheme 1, which has been confirmed by the X-ray crystallographic analysis of 4d and 4h (see below for discussion).

Complexes 4a-4k are soluble in THF, CH₂Cl₂, DMF, or acetone, and sparingly soluble or insoluble in toluene, benzene or hexane. As solids, 4a-4k are air stable, and can be stored for several months without decomposition, while they slowly decompose when their solutions are exposed to air. It is interesting to notice that anionic 3a-3c have different reactivity when compared to their precursors 2a-2c. Thus, reactions of 2 with allyl bromide or Bu₃SnCl do not afford allylation or metalation product, leading instead to the formation of the starting neutral complexes 1a-1c.

The results of the molecular structure determinations are presented in Fig. 1 (compound 4d) and Fig. 2 (4h). Crystal and refinement data are in Table 1, and selected bond lengths and angles are given in Tables 2 and 3. The main features of both structures are similar and, for the general description, we will refer to the more accurate results obtained for 4d.

The structure of the molecule of **4d** can be described as consisting of $(OC)_3Re-Mn(CO)_3$ unit bridged, on opposite sides, by a hydride and the novel ligand $S(SSnBu_3^n)C=C(PCy_3)S$.

The grouping $S_2C=C(S)P$ forms a fairly planar arrangement, the biggest deviation affecting S(3) at 0.087(4) Å from the best plane. This, together with the distance C(7)-C(8) of 1.367(13) Å, which indicates double bond character, characterizes the ligand as an ethenetrithiolate. Indeed, there is a high delocalisation involving the three C–S bonds, which exhibit distances intermediate between single and double bonds (see Table 2). The olefinic character of the central carbons is



Fig. 1. Perspective view of compound **4d** showing the atom numbering. The butyl groups on the tin atom have been omitted for clarity.



Fig. 2. Perspective view of compound 4h showing the atom numbering.

strongly supported by the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra. The signals of C(7) and C(8) appear as doublets due to the coupling to ³¹P. Thus, the carbon bonded to both sulfur atoms appears in the region $\delta = 183-193$ ppm [²*J*(P–C) from 7 to 11 Hz], while the carbon bonded to phosphorus is observed in the range $\delta = 114-128$ ppm [¹*J*(P–C) from 66 to 74 Hz].

The Mn–Re distances of 2.786(1) Å for 4d, and 2.781(1)Å for 4h, are consistent with the existence of a metal–metal bond. The bridging hydride ligands have

been located for both structures in difference maps. For 4d, the hydride was fully refined (coordinates and isotropic thermal parameter) while in the case of **4h** both the position and the thermal parameter had to be fixed during the refinement. In the two structures, the bridging hydride is placed in a fairly symmetrical position spanning the Mn-Re bond. The plane of the ethenetrithiolate ligand is placed perpendicular at the middle point of the Mn-Re vector. Therefore the two M(CO)₃ fragments are symmetrically placed at boths sides of the plane. Since the size of both $M(CO)_3$ fragments is similar, there is no significant difference in the packing forces upon changing the orientation of the Mn-Re vector. On these grounds it is not surprising that the positions of Mn and Re are disordered in both structures leading to refined occupancy factors of about 59/41 (i.e., close to randomly distributed) for both structures.

In conclusion, we have shown that the anions **3** can be used to prepare neutral derivatives which incorporate a wide range of substituents, from metal–ligand fragments to activated allyl or propargyl groups. This opens the way to further studies, and some more work is now being done to attempt the polymerization of the allyl and propargyl derivatives, aiming to prepare metal containing polymers.

3. Experimental

3.1. Materials and general methods

All operations were performed under an atmosphere of dry argon using Schlenk and vacuum techniques. All solvents were dried by standard methods and distilled prior to use. Elemental analyses were determined on a Yanaco CHN Corder MT-3 elemental analyzer. IR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Equinox 55 spectrometer in THF solution. NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker AC-200 spectrometer in CDCl₃ solution with TMS as internal standard. [MM'(CO)₆(μ -S₂CPCy₃)] [9] and R₃SnX [10], were synthesized by literature procedures.

3.2. $Li[Re_2(CO)_6(\mu-H)(\mu-S_2C=C(S)PCy_3)]$ (3c) in THF solution

Compound **1c** (0.1 mmol, 0.090 g) and Li[BHEt₃] (molar ratio 1:2) were made to react in THF (20 ml) for 4h at 0 °C to obtain a solution of anion **2a**. Water (0.1 ml) and CS₂ (0.9 ml, excess) were then added, and the solution was stirred for 2h affording the THF solution of anion **3c** to be used in subsequent reactions. IR (THF), v(CO): 2016 m, 1992 s, 1901 vs, cm⁻¹. ³¹P NMR (acetone-d₆): $\delta = 32.42$. ¹H NMR (acetone-d₆): $\delta = 1.27$ –2.12 (m, 33H, PCy₃), -8.91(s,1H, μ -H).

Table 1 Crystal data and refinement details for **4d** and **4h**

	4d	4h
Empirical formula	$C_{38}H_{61}MnO_6PReS_3Sn$	C ₂₉ H ₃₈ MnO ₆ PReS ₃ .CH ₂ Cl ₂
Formula weight	1100.85	935.81
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Triclinic
Space group	Cc	Р
a (Å)	20.880(2)	10.892(5)
b (Å)	10.774(1)	11.161(5)
<i>c</i> (Å)	20.917(2)	17.133(8)
α (°)	90	103.724(8)
β (°)	91.356(2)	99.538(10)
γ (°)	90	106.532(8)
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	4704(1)	1878(2)
Ζ	4	2
D_{calc} (g cm ⁻³)	1.554	1.655
F(000)	2200	930
λ(Mo Kα) (Å)	0.71073	0.71073
Crystal size (mm)	0.22 imes 0.10 imes 0.08	0.25 imes 0.20 imes 0.15
μ (Mo K α) (mm ⁻¹)	3.563	3.946
Collection range (°)	$1.95 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 23.36$	$2 \leq \theta \leq 25.03$
Absorption correction	SADABS	SADABS
Corr. factors (min, max)	1.000, 0.652	1.000, 0.745
Reflections collected	14 737	7694
Independent reflections	6730	6491
Reflections observed, $I > 2\sigma(I)$	5950	2418
GOF on F^2	1.060	0.840
Number of parameters	464	402
<i>R</i> , <i>wR</i> 2 (all)	0.0429, 0.1129	0.0626, 0.0954

Table 2

Re(1)–Mn(1)	2.786(1)	$\operatorname{Re}(1) - S(1)$	2.433(3)
Re(1)-S(2)	2.460(3)	Mn(1)-S(1)	2.421(3)
Mn(1)-S(2)	2.424(3)	Sn(1)–C(21)	2.122(11)
Sn(1)–C(31)	2.147(15)	Sn(1)-C(11)	2.156(13)
Sn(1)-S(3)	2.480(3)	S(2)–C(8)	1.798(9)
S(1)–C(7)	1.802(9)	S(3)–C(8)	1.726(10)
C(7)–C(8)	1.367(13)	P(1)–C(7)	1.788(9)
C(2)-Re(1)-S(1)	164.8(4)	C(3)-Re(1)-S(2)	168.7(4)
S(1)-Re(1)-S(2)	75.35(8)	C(4)-Mn(1)-S(1)	167.9(4)
C(5)-Mn(1)-S(2)	166.1(4)	C(8)-C(7)-P(1)	125.8(7)
C(8)-C(7)-S(1)	116.4(7)	P(1)-C(7)-S(1)	117.8(5)
C(7)-C(8)-S(3)	127.7(7)	C(7)-C(8)-S(2)	117.1(7)
S(3)-C(8)-S(2)	115.2(6)		

Table 3

selected bolid lengths (A) and angles () for compound 4					
Re(1)-Mn(1)	2.781(1)	Re(1)-S(2)	2.430(3)		
Re(1) - S(1)	2.439(3)	Mn(1)-S(1)	2.414(3)		
Mn(1)-S(2)	2.424(4)	P(1)–C(7)	1.842(11)		
S(1)–C(7)	1.782(12)	S(2)–C(8)	1.784(11)		
S(3)–C(8)	1.711(12)	S(3)–C(9)	1.768(14)		
C(9)–C(10)	1.50(2)	C(10)–C(11)	1.30(2)		
C(2)-Re(1)S-(2) C(5)-Mn(1)-S(1) C(8)-C(7)-S(1) S(1)-C(7)-P(1) C(7)-C(8)-S(2) C(10)-C(9)-S(3)	169.2(5) 169.9(4) 119.1(9) 113.5(6) 115.3(9) 115.2(13)	$\begin{array}{l} C(3)-Re(1)-S(1)\\ C(4)-Mn(1)-S(2)\\ C(8)-C(7)-P(1)\\ C(7)-C(8)-S(3)\\ S(3)-C(8)-S(2)\\ C(11)-C(10)-C(9) \end{array}$	171.1(4) 169.8(5) 127.2(10) 126.3(9) 117.9(6) 127(2)		

3.3. $[Mn_2(CO)_6(\mu-H) \{\mu-S(SSnBu_3)C=C(PCy_3)S\}]$ (4a)

To a solution of anion **3a** [8] was added Bu₃SnCl (0.33 g, 1.0 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 2 h. The solvents were evaporated in vacuo, and the residue was taken up in CH₂Cl₂/hexane (V/V, 1:1) and filtered through alumina (activity III). The yellow band was collected and evaporated in vacuo to obtain compound **4a** (0.069 g) as a yellow, microcrystalline solid. Overall yield was 71%, based on starting compound **1a**. Anal. Calc. for: C₃₈H₆₁Mn₂O₆PS₃Sn: C, 47.07; H, 6.34. Found: C, 47.49; H, 6.42%. IR (THF), ν (CO): 2021 s, 1995 vs, 1929 s. ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ = 32.70. ¹H

NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 2.95 - 0.92$ (m, 60H, Bu and Cy), -8.59(s, 1H, µ-H). ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 223.12$, 222.06, 221.76 (Mn–CO); 189.32, 114.35 (P–C=C); 32.71, 27.14, 26.78, 25.92 (C₆H₁₁); 13.54, 17.98, 25.26, 28.52 (Bu).

3.4. $[Mn_2(CO)_6(\mu-H) \{\mu-S(SSnPh_3)C=C(PCy_3)S\}]$ (4b)

Compound **4b** was prepared as described above for compound **4a**, from a solution of **3a** (0.1 mmol) and Ph₃SnCl (1.0 mmol). The workup was as described for **4a** to afford compound **4b**. Yield: 0.078 g, 75%. Anal. Calcd. for $C_{44}H_{57}Mn_2O_6PS_3Sn$: C, 50.97; H, 5.53.

Found: C, 50.97; H, 5.05%. IR (THF), ν (CO): 2022 s, 1995 vs, 1929 s, cm⁻¹. ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ = 33.16. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ = 7.63–7.28 (m, 15H, Ph), 2.91–1.30 (m, 33H, Cy), -8.72 (s, 1H, μ -H). ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ = 223.82, 222.41, 221.46 (Mn–CO), 185.90 (P–C=CS), 117.07 (P–C=CS); 139.45, 136.92, 130.17, 129.37 (Ph); 33.21, 27.80, 27.46, 25.84 (C₆H₁₁).

3.5.
$$[Mn_2(CO)_6(\mu-H) \{\mu-S(SCH_2CH=CH_2)C=C(P-Cy_3)S\}]$$
 (4c)

Compound 4c was prepared as described above for compound 4a, from a solution of 3a (0.1 mmol) and allyl bromide (1.0 mmol). The workup was as described for 4a to afford compound 4c. Yield: 0.041 g, 56%. Anal. Calc. for C₂₉H₃₉Mn₂O₆PS₃: C, 48.33; H, 5.45. Found: C, 48.38; H, 5.12%. IR (THF), v(CO): 2022 s, 1996 vs, 1926 s, 1910(sh), 1898 s. ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 36.39$; ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 5.87$ [m, 1H, CH=CH₂], 5.37 [d(16), 1H, trans-C=CH₂], 5.22 [d(10), 1H, cis-C=CH₂], 3.90 [d(9), 2H, CH₂CH=CH₂], 3.16 [m, 3H, CH of Cy], 1.92–1.37 [m, 30H, CH₂ of Cy], -8.42 [s, 1H, μ -H]. ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 223.82, 223.31, 222.39 (Mn-CO), 187.38 [d(7), P-C=CS], 125.72 [d(66), PC=CS], 133.58 and 120.04 (CH=CH₂), 40.28 (SCH₂), 33.36 [d(41), C¹ of Cy], 27.92 [d(3), C^{2,6} of Cy], 27.65 [d(13), C^{3,5} of Cy], 26.07 [C^4 of Cy].

3.6. $[MnRe(CO)_6(\mu-H) \{\mu-S(SSnBu_3)C=C(PCy_3)S\}]$ (4d)

Compound **4b** was prepared as described above for compound **4a**, from a solution of **3a** (0.1 mmol) and Bu₃SnCl (0.33 g, 1.0 mmol). The workup was as described for **4a** to afford compound **4d**. Yield: 0.084 g, 76%. Anal. Calc. for C₃₈H₆₁MnO₆PReS₃Sn: C, 41.46; H, 5.58. Found: C, 41.97; H, 5.62%. IR (THF), *v*(CO): 2026 s, 2000 vs, 1926 s, 1914 s, 1900 m. ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 28.20; ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ = 2.08 – 1.00 (m, 60H, Bu and Cy), -7.30 (s, 1H, μ -H). ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ = 223.65, 222.35, 218.41 (Mn–CO), 197.07, 196.51, 193.22 (Re–CO), 186.55 (P–C=C–S), 115.31 (P–C=CS); 33.58, 27.80, 27.21, 25.72 (C₆H₁₁), 29.24, 27.54, 18.49, 13.95 (Bu).

3.7. $[MnRe(CO)_6(\mu-H) \{\mu-S(SSnPh_3)C=C(PCy_3)S\}]$ (4e)

Compound **4e** was prepared as described above for compound **4d**, from a solution of **3b** (0.1 mmol) and Ph₃SnCl (1.0 mmol). The workup was as described for **4d** to afford compound **4e**. Yield: 0.095 g, 81%. Anal. Calc. for C₄₄H₅₇MnO₆PReS₃Sn: C, 48.88; H, 4.59. Found: C, 48.30; H, 4.56. IR (THF), v(CO): 2027 m, 2001 vs, 1931 s, 1915 s, 1900 s. ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 33.68$; ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 7.46-7.63$ (m, 15H, Ph), 1.27–2.98 (m, 33H, Cy), –10.00 (s, 1H, μ -H). ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ = 223.22, 222.09, 218.00 (Mn–*C*O), 197.38, 196.44, 197.38 (Re–*C*O), 182.44 (P–C=*C*S), 118.09 (P–*C*=*C*S), 138.45, 136.62, 131.17, 129.75 (Ph), 33.32, 27.90, 27.49, 25.84 (Cy).

3.8. $[MnRe(CO)_6(\mu-H) \{\mu-S(SSnCy_3)C=C(PCy_3)S\}]$ (4f)

Compound **4f** was prepared as described above for compound **4**, from a solution of **3b** and Cy₃SnCl (1.0 mmol). The workup was as described for **4d** to afford compound **4f**. Yield: 0.065 g, 55%. Anal. Calc. for C₄₄H₆₇MnO₆PReS₃Sn: C, 44.74; H, 5.72. Found: C, 44.79; H, 5.76%. IR (KBr), ν (CO): 2023 s, 1995 vs, 1908 (br). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 33.78$; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 2.90-1.10$ (m, 66H, Cy), -7.85 (s, 1H, μ -H).

3.9. $[MnRe(CO)_6(\mu-H) \{\mu-S(SAuPPh_3)C=C(PCy_3)-S\}]$ (4g)

Compound 4g was prepared as described above for compound 4a, from a solution of 3b (0.1 mmol) and Ph₃PAuCl (1.0 mmol). The workup was as described for 4d to afford compound 4g. Yield: 0.088 g, 69%. Anal. Calc. for C₄₄H₄₉AuMnO₆P₂ReS₃: C, 41.61, H, 3.89. Found: C, 41.47; H, 3.77. IR (THF), v(CO): 2022 m, 1996 vs, 1922 s, 1910 s, 1890 (sh). ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 37.13 (PPh₃), 35.17 (PCy₃). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 7.59-7.66$ (m, 15H, Ph), 3.25 (m, 3H, CH of Cy), 2.90-1.28 (30H, CH₂ of Cy), -8.47 (s, 1H, µ-H). ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 223.58, 221.93, 218.71 (Mn-CO), 198.12, 197.73, 193.52 (Re-CO), 192.57 [d(10), P-C=CS], 134.90 [d(14), $C^{2,6}$ of Ph], 132.95 [d(3), C^4 of Ph], 130.38 [d(12), C^{3,5} of Ph], 130.07 [d(59), C¹ of Ph], 115.06 [d(74), PC=CS], 33.75 [d(43), C¹ of Cy], 28.16 $[d(4), C^{2,6} \text{ of } Cy], 27.76 [d(13), C^{3,5} \text{ of } Cy], 26.18 [C^4 \text{ of }$ Cy].

3.10. $[MnRe(CO)_6(\mu-H) \{\mu-S(SC_3H_5)C=C(PCy_3)S\}]$ (4h)

Compound **4h** was prepared as described above for compound **4a**, from a solution of **3b** (0.1 mmol) and allyl bromide (1 ml, excess). Yield 0.047 g, 52%. Block-shaped single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown from CH₂Cl₂/hexane (V/V = 1:5) at -20 °C. Anal. Calc. for C₂₉H₃₉MnO₆RePS₃: C, 40.89; H, 4.61. Found: C, 40.84; H, 4.05%. UV–Vis(CHCl₃): $\lambda_{max} = 262$, 242 nm. IR (THF), v(CO): 2025 m, 1990 vs, 1926 s, 1916 s, 1904 s, cm⁻¹. ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 33.78$; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 5.90-5.75$ [m, 1H, CH=CH₂], 5.35-5.18 [m, 2H, C=CH₂], 3.71 [d(9), 2H, CH₂CH=CH₂], 2.98 [m, 3H, CH of Cy], 1.86–1.37 [m, 30H, CH₂ of Cy], -7.62 [s, 1H, μ -H]. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 223.05, 222.03, 218.61 (Mn–CO); 196.71, 196.39, 192.54 (Re–CO), 185.10 (PC=CS), 120.50 (PC=CS), 132.14 (CH₂CH=CH₂), 120.59 (CH₂CH= CH₂), 40.14 (S-CH₂CH=CH₂), 33.38, 27.70, 27.23, 24.18 (C₆H₁₁).

3.11. $[MnRe(CO)_6(\mu-H) \{\mu-S(SCH_2C \equiv CH)C \equiv C(PCy_3)-S\}]$ (4i)

Compound **4i** was prepared as described above for compound **4a**, from a solution of **3b** (0.1 mmol) and ClCH₂C≡CH (1.0 mmol). Yield: 0.066 g, 78%. Anal. Calc. for C₂₉H₃₇MnO₆PReS₃: C, 40.98; H, 4.39. Found: C, 40.70; H, 4.46%. IR (THF), ν (CO): 2026 m, 2000 vs, 1925 s, 1917 (sh), 1906 s. ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ = 34.33. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 2.91 (m, 3H, CH of Cy), 3.92 [d(3), 2H, CHC=CH₂], 2.40 [t(3), 1H, CHC=CH₂], 2.01–1.34 (m, 30H, CH₂ of Cy), -7.53(s, 1H, μ -H). ¹³C NMR(CD₂Cl₂): δ = 222.30, 218.21, 207.48 (Mn–CO), 196.44, 196.11, 192.21 (Re–CO), 183.20 [d(8), P–C=CS], 126.90 [d(66), PC=CS], 77.28 and 74.16 (C≡CH), 32.80 [d(42), C¹ of Cy], 27.43 [s(br), C^{2.6} of Cy], 27.15 [d(13), C^{3,5} of Cy], 25.37 [C⁴ of Cy], 24.92 (SCH₂C≡).

3.12.
$$[Re_2(CO)_6(\mu-H) \{\mu-S(SMe)C = C(PCy_3)S\}]$$
 (4j)

To the solution of anion **3c** (prepared from 0.1 mmol compound **1c** as described above) was added excess methyl iodide, and the mixture was stirred for 2h. The workup was as described for **4a**, to afford **4j** as a yellow microcrystalline solid. Yield 0.062 g, 65%, (based on starting compound **1c**). Anal. Calc. for C₂₇H₃₇O₆-PRe₂S₃: C, 33.38; H, 3.90. Found: C, 33.65; H, 3.82%. IR (THF), ν (CO): 2029 s, 2004 vs, 1919 s, 1911 s. ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 34.30$. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 2.52 (s, 3H, SCH₃), 2.42–1.20 (m, 33H, Cy), -7,71(s, 1H, μ -H); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 195.26, 189.15 (Re–CO), 184.32 [d(9), P–C=CS], 125.17 [d(67), PC=CS], 33.53 [d(41), C¹ of Cy], 28.13 [d(2), C^{2,6} of Cy], 27.72 [d(12), C^{3,5} of Cy], 25.97 [C⁴ of Cy], 19.99 (SCH₃).

3.13. $[Re_2(CO)_6(\mu-H) \{\mu-S(SCH_2C \equiv CH)C \equiv C(P-Cy_3)-S\}]$ (4k)

Compound **4k** was prepared as described above from a solution of **3c** (0.1 mmol) and ClCH₂C \equiv CH (1 ml, excess). Yield: 0.067 g, 68%. Anal. Calc. for C₂₉H₃₇O₆PRe₂S₃: C, 35.50; H, 3.80. Found: C, 35.21; H, 3.70%. IR (THF), v(CO): 2029 m, 2005 vs, 1917 s. ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 34.73$. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 4.10$ [d(3), SCH₂C \equiv], 3.39 (m, 3H, CH of Cy), 2.97 [t(3), 1H, C \equiv CH], 2.12–1.45 (m, 30H, CH₂ of Cy), -7.95(s, 1H, µ-H). ¹³C NMR(CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 195.45$, 189.11 (Re–CO), 181.30 [d(7), P–C \equiv CS], 128.39 [d(64), PC \equiv CS], 77.49 and 75.71 (C \equiv CH), 33.21 [d(41), C¹ of Cy], 28.03 [d(3), C^{2,6} of Cy], 27.66 [d(13), C^{3,5} of Cy], 26.03 [C⁴ of Cy], 25.44 (SCH₂C \equiv).

3.14. X-ray crystallography

Crystals suitable for diffraction studies were grown by slow diffusion of hexane into dichloromethane solutions of **4d** and **4h** at -20 °C. Data for were collected on a Bruker Smart 1000 CCD diffractometer (graphitemonochromatized Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). Raw frame data were integrated with SAINT [11]. The structures were solved by direct methods with SHELXTL [12]. A semi-empirical absorption correction was applied with SADABS [13]. Crystallographic data and experimental details for both structures are summarized in Table 1.

4. Supplementary material

Crystallographic data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, CCDC nos. 220315 (compound **4d**) and 220316 (compound **4h**). Copies of the data may be obtained free of charge from The Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (Fax: +44-1223-336033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc. cam.ac.uk or www: http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

Acknowledgements

We thank the Chinese National Natural Science Foundation (project 27932101), the State Key Laboratory Visiting Investigator Foundation of Chinese Education Ministry, and the Spanish MCYT (BQU2002-03414), JCyL (VA052/03) and FEDER, for financial support. We also thank Professor Wang Hong-Geng and Assistant Professor Leng Xue-Bing for support of the crystallographic study.

References

- [1] T. Kondo, T. Mitsudo, Chem. Rev. 100 (2000) 3205.
- [2] (a) C. Bianchini, A. Meli, Acc. Chem. Res. 31 (1998) 109;
 (b) K.E. Janak, J.M. Tanski, D.G. Churchill, G. Parkin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124 (2002) 4182;
 (c) D.A. Vicic, W.D. Jones, Organometallics 16 (1997) 1912;
 (d) P.J. Lim, V.C. Cook, C.J. Doonan, C.G. Young, E.R.T. Tiekink, Organometallics 19 (2000) 5643.
- [3] (a) E.J. Lyon, I.P. Georgakaki, J.H. Reibenspies, M.Y. Darensbourg, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 38 (1999) 3178;
 (b) V.E. Kaasjager, R.K. Henderson, E. Bowman, M. Lutz, A.L. Spek, J. Reedijk, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 37 (1998) 1668.
- [4] A. Galindo, D. Miguel, J. Pérez, Coord. Chem. Rev. 193–195 (1999) 643.
- [5] J. Li, D. Miguel, M.D. Morales, V. Riera, S. García-Granda, Organometallics 17 (1998) 3448.
- [6] D. Miguel, J. Li, D. Morales, V. Riera, S. García-Granda, Organometallics 20 (2001) 3063.
- [7] B. Alvarez, S. García-Granda, Y. Jeannin, D. Miguel, J.A. Miguel, V. Riera, Organometallics 10 (1991) 3005.

- [8] B. Alvarez, S. García-Granda, J. Li, D. Miguel, V. Riera, Organometallics 13 (1994) 16.
- [9] (a) Mn–Mn: D. Miguel, V. Riera, J.A. Miguel, M. Gómez, X. Soláns, Organometallics 10 (1991) 1683;
 (b) Mn–Re: B. Alvarez, D. Miguel, V. Riera, J.A. Miguel, S. García-Granda, Organometallics 10 (1991) 384;
 - (c) Re-Re: B. Alvarez, J. Li, D. Miguel, M.D. Morales, V. Riera, S. García-Granda, Chem. Ber. 130 (1997) 1507.
- [10] R.L. Ingham, S.D. Rosenberg, H. Gilman, Chem. Rev. 60(1960)459.
- [11] SAINT+. SAX area detector integration program. Version 6.02. Bruker AXS, Madison, WI, 1999.
- [12] G.M. Sheldrick, SHEXTL, An Integrated System for Solving, Refining, and Displaying Crystal Structures from diffraction Data. Version 5.1, Bruker AXS, Madison, WI, 1999.
- [13] G.M. Sheldrick, SADABS, Empirical Absorption Correction Program, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1977.